



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,409	07/14/2005	Yumiko Kato	MTS-3576US	1733
53473	7590	08/18/2009		
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			GUERRA-ERAZO, EDGAR X	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/542,409

Applicant(s)

KATO ET AL.

Examiner

EDGAR GUERRA-ERAZO

Art Unit

2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12, 15, 18, 21-24 and 35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 25-34, 36 and 37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/14/2005, 05/01/2006, 11/13/2006 and 07/17/2008
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's submission filed on 07/14/2005. Claims are pending in the application and have been examined.
2. In response to submission of the preliminary amendment filed on 07/14/2005, where in the drawings, in Figures 29, 31, and 34-36, the word "means" has been changed to "part", the Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment.
3. In response to the submission of the preliminary amendment filed on 02/10/2006, cancelling Claims 1-11, and adding Claims 12-37, the Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment.
4. In response to the submission of the further preliminary amendment filed on 10/16/2006, amending Claim 32, and cancelling Claims 1-12, 15, 18, 21-24, and 35, the Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The Information Disclosure Statements filed on 07/14/2005, 05/01/2006, 11/13/2006 and 07/17/2008 have been accepted and considered in this office action.

Priority

6. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 25-34, 36, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatsuyama (U.S. Patent Application: 2002/0143550) in view of Mitchell et al.,(U.S. Patent: 6,961,700); hereinafter referred to as Nakatsuyama and Mitchell.

9. With respect to **Claims 13, 16, 19, 25, 36**, Nakatsuyama discloses:

A broadcast receiving method comprising:

a receiving step of receiving a broadcast in which additional information that is made to correspond to an object appearing in broadcast contents broadcasted from a broadcasting station and that contains keyword information specifying said object and a language model are broadcasted simultaneously with said broadcast contents (*"...providing a voice-recognition-based Internet shopping interface between an end user's Internet-enabled device 10 and a plurality of online shopping sites 12, 14 and 16 via Internet connections 18... communication via voice and the display of images (e.g., character images and scene images). Internet-enabled device 10 can be, for example, an Internet-enabled personal digital assistant (PDA), an Internet-enabled personal computer (PC), an Internet-enabled cellular phone, or an interactive television..."*), *"...predetermined agent interface functions can include, for example, (i) voice recognition based on a Natural Language Interface (NLI) to convert the end user's voice-format answers to text format answers; and (ii) a text-to-voice engine function for converting text-format shopping site questions stored in the agent interface to voice-format shopping site questions for presentation to the end user..."*, *"...interactive dialog editor software*

can, for example, present a retailer with a series of predetermined software-based forms 20 that prompt a user to enter a series of text-format questions (e.g., Question 1), associated expected answers (e.g., Ans11, Ans12 etc.) and associated actions (e.g., Act11, Act12 etc.) to be taken. For ease of use, text-format product questions can be limited to a certain number (e.g., 10). As shown in FIG. 3, the interactive dialog software can also prompt a retailer to supply pictures (e.g., Picture1, Picture2, etc.) of target products (e.g., Product1, Product2, etc.)...”, Paragraphs [0023], [0024], [0029], [0030], [0032], [0033], [0039], [0040]).

Nakatsuyama does not explicitly disclose the limitations a correcting step of utilizing a synonym dictionary in which a plurality of words are classified into word classes on the basis of the synonymy between the words, and of thereby correcting a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said language model and/or a frequency of appearance of a predetermined word with reference to said word class in an expression form of said language model, on the basis of history information of speech recognition result of already performed speech recognition; a speech recognition step of performing speech recognition of a voice uttered by a viewing person, by using said corrected language model; a specifying step of specifying said keyword information on the basis of the speech recognition result; and a displaying step of displaying additional information corresponding to said specified keyword information. Mitchell, however, discloses the limitations a correcting step of utilizing a synonym dictionary in which a plurality of words are classified into word classes on the basis of the synonymy between the words, and of thereby correcting a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said language model and/or a frequency of appearance of a predetermined

word with reference to said word class in an expression form of said language model, on the basis of history information of speech recognition result of already performed speech recognition

("...a user model 21 which can be updated to improve the accuracy of the recognition, a language model 22 and a dictionary 23 to which a user can add new words. The user model 21 comprises an acoustic model and a contextual model. During operation of the speech recognition engine application 11 the application utilizes the user model 21, the language model 22 and the dictionary 23 in the memory 20 and outputs speech recognition data 24 to the memory 20...", "...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...", "...This information is provided in the tag field. The tag field will not only include the identified tag identifying the position of the audio component for a word within a file, it will also include an identification of which file contains the audio component...", "...the error correction of step S11 of FIG. 5. In step S50 the user selects a word which is believed to be incorrectly recognized for correction. The selected word is then highlighted on the display in step S51... the speech recognition interface application 12 determines the word location in the text... speech recognition run time created files... a user can select an alternative word from the choice list, input a new word, default back to the original

word or cancel if the original word is correct or the word was selected for correction in error...”, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3);

a speech recognition step of performing speech recognition of a voice uttered by a viewing person, by using said corrected language model (“...a user model 21 which can be updated to improve the accuracy of the recognition, a language model 22 and a dictionary 23 to which a user can add new words. The user model 21 comprises an acoustic model and a contextual model. During operation of the speech recognition engine application 11 the application utilizes the user model 21, the language model 22 and the dictionary 23 in the memory 20 and outputs speech recognition data 24 to the memory 20...”, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7);

a specifying step of specifying said keyword information on the basis of the speech recognition result (“...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”, Col. 7, lines 4-15) ; and

a displaying step of displaying additional information corresponding to said specified keyword information (“...a choice list can be displayed which comprises the alternative words listed alphabetically for ease of use. Corrections can then be carried out either by selecting one of the alternative characters or entering a new character...”, “...The selected word is then highlighted on the display in step S51... the speech recognition interface application...”, Col. 3, lines 24-32, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3).

Nakatsuyama and Mitchell are analogous art because they are from a similar field of endeavor in speech recognition-based interface processing systems. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the teachings of Nakatsuyama with the correcting, recognizing, display and selection means taught by Mitchell in order to advantageously permitting “...audio recording of the dictation stored which can be replayed to aid the correction of the recognized text...providing an interface between the output of a speech recognition engine and application capable of processing the output...to link the relationship between the output data and the audio data...in such a way as to remove, reorder, delete, insert or format the data”, (Col. 1, lines 46-48, Col. 2, lines 11-19).

With respect to **Claims 14, 17, 20, 26, 37**, Nakatsuyama discloses:

A broadcast receiving method comprising:

a receiving step of receiving a broadcast in which additional information that is made to correspond to an object appearing in broadcast contents broadcasted from a broadcasting station and that contains keyword information specifying said object and information specifying a language model are broadcasted simultaneously with said broadcast contents (“...providing a

voice-recognition-based Internet shopping interface between an end user's Internet-enabled device 10 and a plurality of online shopping sites 12, 14 and 16 via Internet connections 18... communication via voice and the display of images (e.g., character images and scene images). Internet-enabled device 10 can be, for example, an Internet-enabled personal digital assistant (PDA), an Internet-enabled personal computer (PC), an Internet-enabled cellular phone, or an interactive television...”, “...predetermined agent interface functions can include, for example, (i) voice recognition based on a Natural Language Interface (NLI) to convert the end user's voice-format answers to text format answers; and (ii) a text-to-voice engine function for converting text-format shopping site questions stored in the agent interface to voice-format shopping site questions for presentation to the end user...”, “...interactive dialog editor software can, for example, present a retailer with a series of predetermined software-based forms 20 that prompt a user to enter a series of text-format questions (e.g., Question 1), associated expected answers (e.g., Ans11, Ans12 etc.) and associated actions (e.g., Act11, Act12 etc.) to be taken. For ease of use, text-format product questions can be limited to a certain number (e.g., 10). As shown in FIG. 3, the interactive dialog software can also prompt a retailer to supply pictures (e.g., Picture1, Picture2, etc.) of target products (e.g., Product1, Product2, etc.)...”, Paragraphs [0023], [0024], [0029], [0030], [0032], [0033], [0039], [0040]).

Nakatsuyama does not explicitly disclose the limitations a language model specifying step of specifying said language model retained in advance, by using information specifying said received language model; a correcting step of utilizing a synonym dictionary in which a plurality of words are classified into word classes on the basis of the synonymy between the words, and of thereby correcting a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word

classes in an expression form of said specified language model and/or a frequency of appearance of a predetermined word with reference to said word class in an expression form of said specified language model, on the basis of history information of speech recognition result of already performed speech recognition; a speech recognition step of performing speech recognition of a voice uttered by a viewing person, by using said corrected language model; a specifying step of specifying said keyword information on the basis of the speech recognition result; and a displaying step of displaying additional information corresponding to said specified keyword information. Mitchell, however, discloses the limitations a language model specifying step of specifying said language model retained in advance, by using information specifying said received language model (*"...During operation of the speech recognition engine application 11 the application utilizes the user model 21, the language model 22 and the dictionary 23 in the memory 20 and outputs speech recognition data 24 to the memory 20..."*, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7);

a correcting step of utilizing a synonym dictionary in which a plurality of words are classified into word classes on the basis of the synonymy between the words, and of thereby correcting a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said specified language model and/or a frequency of appearance of a predetermined word with reference to said word class in an expression form of said specified language model, on the basis of history information of speech recognition result of already performed speech recognition (*"...a user model 21 which can be updated to improve the accuracy of the recognition, a language model 22 and a dictionary 23 to which a user can add new words. The user model 21 comprises an acoustic model and a contextual model. During*

operation of the speech recognition engine application 11 the application utilizes the user model 21, the language model 22 and the dictionary 23 in the memory 20 and outputs speech recognition data 24 to the memory 20...”, “...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”, “...This information is provided in the tag field. The tag field will not only include the identified tag identifying the position of the audio component for a word within a file, it will also include an identification of which file contains the audio component...”, “...the error correction of step S11 of FIG. 5. In step S50 the user selects a word which is believed to be incorrectly recognized for correction. The selected word is then highlighted on the display in step S51... the speech recognition interface application 12 determines the word location in the text... speech recognition run time created files... a user can select an alternative word from the choice list, input a new word, default back to the original word or cancel if the original word is correct or the word was selected for correction in error...”, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3);

a speech recognition step of performing speech recognition of a voice uttered by a viewing person, by using said corrected language model (“...a user model 21 which can be

updated to improve the accuracy of the recognition, a language model 22 and a dictionary 23 to which a user can add new words. The user model 21 comprises an acoustic model and a contextual model. During operation of the speech recognition engine application 11 the application utilizes the user model 21, the language model 22 and the dictionary 23 in the memory 20 and outputs speech recognition data 24 to the memory 20...”, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7);

a specifying step of specifying said keyword information on the basis of the speech recognition result (“...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”, Col. 7, lines 4-15); and

a displaying step of displaying additional information corresponding to said specified keyword information (“...a choice list can be displayed which comprises the alternative words listed alphabetically for ease of use. Corrections can then be carried out either by selecting one of the alternative characters or entering a new character...”, “...The selected word is then highlighted on the display in step S51... the speech recognition interface application...”, Col. 3, lines 24-32, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3).

Nakatsuyama and Mitchell are analogous art because they are from a similar field of endeavor in speech recognition-based interface processing systems. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the teachings of Nakatsuyama with the correcting, recognizing, display and selection means taught by Mitchell in order to advantageously permitting "...audio recording of the dictation stored which can be replayed to aid the correction of the recognized text...providing an interface between the output of a speech recognition engine and application capable of processing the output...to link the relationship between the output data and the audio data...in such a way as to remove, reorder, delete, insert or format the data", (*Col. 1, lines 46-48, Col. 2, lines 11-19*).

With respect to **Claim 27**, Mitchell further discloses:

wherein the information specifying said language model is an ID imparted to said language model in advance ("*...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...*", "*...This information is provided in the tag field. The tag field will not only include the identified tag identifying the position of the audio component for a word within a file, it will also include an identification of which file contains the audio component...*", *Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35*).

With respect to **Claim 28**, Mitchell further discloses:

wherein: the information specifying said language model is keyword information for language model specification (“...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”, Col. 7, lines 4-15);

the keyword information for language model specification is imparted also to said language model retained in advance (“..., the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35); and

said language model specifying part specifies said language model depending on the degree of agreement of those keywords for language model specification (“...the likelihood that

the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first...”, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35).

Also, Nakatsuyama discloses “...interactive dialog editor software can, for example, present a retailer with a series of predetermined software-based forms 20 that prompt a user to enter a series of text-format questions (e.g., Question 1), associated expected answers (e.g., Ans11, Ans2 etc.) and associated actions (e.g., Act11, Act2 etc.) to be taken. For ease of use, text-format product questions can be limited to a certain number (e.g., 10). As shown in FIG. 3, the interactive dialog software can also prompt a retailer to supply pictures (e.g., Picture1, Picture2, etc.) of target products (e.g., Product1, Product2, etc.)...”, (*Paragraphs [0023], [0024], [0029], [0030], [0032], [0033], [0039], [0040]*).

With respect to **Claim 29**, Mitchell further discloses:

wherein: if said correcting part corrects a frequency of appearance of a predetermined word with reference to a predetermined word class in an expression form of said language model, wherein said history information contains a word recognized in said already performed speech recognition and said correcting part extracts a word contained in said word class containing the word corresponding to said keyword information (“...*This information is provided in the tag field. The tag field will not only include the identified tag identifying the position of the audio component for a word within a file, it will also include an identification of which file contains the audio component...*”, “...*the error correction of step S11 of FIG. 5. In step S50 the user selects a word which is believed to be incorrectly recognized for correction. The selected word is then highlighted on the display in step S51 ... the speech recognition*

interface application 12 determines the word location in the text... speech recognition run time created files... a user can select an alternative word from the choice list, input a new word, default back to the original word or cancel if the original word is correct or the word was selected for correction in error...”, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3);

with respect to a word contained in said history information among the extracted words, a frequency of appearance of the word with reference to said word class in an expression form of said language model is increased (“...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, lines 6-14); and

with respect to a word not contained in said history information among the extracted words, a frequency of appearance of the word with reference to said word class in an expression form of said language model is decreased (“...the speech recognition engine application 11 outputs speech recognition data 24 and stores the data in run time files in a temporary directory of the disk storage 15. Also, the audio data is stored in parallel as a run time file in the temporary directory in step S32. The speech recognition interface application 12 detects whether

the most likely words output from the speech recognition engine application 11 are firm or infirm, i.e. whether the speech recognition engine application 11 has finished recognizing that word or not in step S33. If the speech recognition engine application 11 has not finished recognizing that word, a word is still output as the most likely, but this could change, e.g. when contextual information is taken into consideration...”, Col. 9, lines 6-14, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35).

With respect to **Claim 30**, Mitchell further discloses:

wherein: if said correcting part corrects a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said language model, wherein said history information contains a word recognized in said already performed speech recognition and said correcting means extracts a word class containing a word corresponding to said keyword information (*“Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word ...This information is provided in the tag field. The tag field will not only include the identified tag identifying the position of the audio component for a word within a file, it will also include an identification of which file contains the audio component...”*), *“...the error correction of step S11 of FIG. 5. In step S50 the user selects a word which is believed to be incorrectly recognized for correction. The selected word is then highlighted on the display in step S51... the speech recognition interface application 12 determines the word location in the text... speech recognition run time created files... a user can select an alternative word from the choice list, input a new word, default back to the original word or cancel if the original word is correct or the word was selected for correction in*

error...”, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3);

with respect to said extracted word class, a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said language model is increased (*“...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”*, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, lines 6-14); and with respect to a word class not extracted, a frequency that the word class appears after a predetermined sequence of said word classes in an expression form of said language model is decreased (*“...the speech recognition engine application 11 outputs speech recognition data 24 and stores the data in run time files in a temporary directory of the disk storage 15. Also, the audio data is stored in parallel as a run time file in the temporary directory in step S32. The speech recognition interface application 12 detects whether the most likely words output from the speech recognition engine application 11 are firm or infirm, i.e. whether the speech recognition engine application 11 has finished recognizing that word or not in step S33. If the speech recognition engine application 11 has not finished recognizing that word, a word is still*

output as the most likely, but this could change, e.g. when contextual information is taken into consideration...”, Col. 9, lines 6-14, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35).

Also, Nakatsuyama discloses “...associated actions to be taken and target products form a branching pathway (or network) that is employed by the computer system to determine the end user's product requirements and a target product that meets those requirements. FIG. 4 depicts a branching pathway relationship between text-format product questions (e.g., Q, Q1, Q2, Q21 etc.), associated expected answers (e.g., Ans1, Ans2, Ans3, etc.), associated actions (e.g., Act1, Act2, etc.) to be taken and target products (Product A, Product B, Product C, Product D etc.) in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the present invention. The branching pathway relationship between these questions, answers and actions enables the computer system to determine a target product that meets specified requirements, in particular, the end user's product requirements as communicated via voice-format answers to voice-format product questions”, (Paragraph [0033], [0039], [0040]).

With respect to **Claim 31**, Mitchell further discloses:
wherein: if said correcting means corrects a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said language model, wherein said history information contains a word class containing a word recognized in said already performed speech recognition and said correcting part extracts a word class corresponding to said keyword information (“...a choice list is built and displayed on the display. The choice list comprises the list of alternative words displayed alphabetically. In step S80 a user can select an alternative word from the choice list, input a new word, default back to the original word, or cancel if the original word is thought to be correct...”, “Each of the words recognized is

identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word ...This information is provided in the tag field. The tag field will not only include the identified tag identifying the position of the audio component for a word within a file, it will also include an identification of which file contains the audio component...”, “...the error correction of step S11 of FIG. 5. In step S50 the user selects a word which is believed to be incorrectly recognized for correction. The selected word is then highlighted on the display in step S51... the speech recognition interface application 12 determines the word location in the text... speech recognition run time created files... a user can select an alternative word from the choice list, input a new word, default back to the original word or cancel if the original word is correct or the word was selected for correction in error...”, Col. 10, lines 41-56, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3);

with respect to said extracted word class, a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said language model is increased (“...Each of the words recognized is identified by an identifier tag which identifies the position in the sequence of word. Also, the audio start point and audio end point of the audio component in the associated audio data file is indicated to enable the retrieval and playback of the audio component corresponding to the word. For each word, a list of alternative words and their scores is given where n is the score, i.e. the likelihood that the word is correct, and w is the word. The list of alternative words is ordered such that the most likely word appears first. Alternatives, if any, are then listed in order with the word having the highest score first and the word having the lowest score last...”, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, lines 6-14);

and with respect to a word class not extracted, a frequency of appearance of a predetermined combination of said word classes in an expression form of said language model is reduced (*"...the speech recognition engine application 11 outputs speech recognition data 24 and stores the data in run time files in a temporary directory of the disk storage 15. Also, the audio data is stored in parallel as a run time file in the temporary directory in step S32. The speech recognition interface application 12 detects whether the most likely words output from the speech recognition engine application 11 are firm or infirm, i.e. whether the speech recognition engine application 11 has finished recognizing that word or not in step S33. If the speech recognition engine application 11 has not finished recognizing that word, a word is still output as the most likely, but this could change, e.g. when contextual information is taken into consideration..."*, Col. 9, lines 6-14, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35).

Also, Nakatsuyama discloses "...associated actions to be taken and target products form a branching pathway (or network) that is employed by the computer system to determine the end user's product requirements and a target product that meets those requirements. FIG. 4 depicts a branching pathway relationship between text-format product questions (e.g., Q, Q1, Q2, Q21 etc.), associated expected answers (e.g., Ans1, Ans2, Ans3, etc.), associated actions (e.g., Act1, Act2, etc.) to be taken and target products (Product A, Product B, Product C, Product D etc.) in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the present invention. The branching pathway relationship between these questions, answers and actions enables the computer system to determine a target product that meets specified requirements, in particular, the end user's product requirements as communicated via voice-format answers to voice-format product questions", (Paragraph [0033], [0039], [0040]).

With respect to **Claim 32**, Mitchell further discloses:

comprising transmitting part for transmitting an instruction corresponding to a predetermined operation to a predetermined transmission destination when the predetermined operation is performed on said displayed additional information (“...*analyze and determine an end user's (i.e., customer's) shopping site requirements. Such analysis and determination are accomplished by presenting the end user with series of voice-format shopping site questions and receiving the end user's voice-format answers thereto. The voice-format shopping site questions are presented and the voice-format answers received via the end user's Internet-enabled device 10 and Internet connections 18...*”, Paragraphs [0026], [0028], [0023], [0024], [0029], [0030], [0032], [0033], [0039], [0040]).

With respect to **Claim 33**, Nakatsuyama further discloses:

wherein said additional information is goods sales information and/or services sales information, and wherein said instruction corresponding to a predetermined operation is a request for brochure or purchase instruction information concerning said goods and/or said service (“...*interactive dialog editor software can, for example, present a retailer with a series of predetermined software-based forms 20 that prompt a user to enter a series of text-format questions (e.g., Question 1), associated expected answers (e.g., Ans11, Ans12 etc.) and associated actions (e.g., Act11, Act12 etc.) to be taken. For ease of use, text-format product questions can be limited to a certain number (e.g., 10). As shown in FIG. 3, the interactive dialog software can also prompt a retailer to supply pictures (e.g., Picture1, Picture2, etc.) of*

target products (e.g., Product1, Product2, etc.)...”, Paragraphs [0023], [0024], [0029], [0030], [0032], [0033], [0039], [0040]).

With respect to **Claim 34**, Mitchell further discloses:

wherein said language model retained in advance has been acquired in advance through a network (“...a user model 21 which can be updated to improve the accuracy of the recognition, a language model 22 and a dictionary 23 to which a user can add new words. The user model 21 comprises an acoustic model and a contextual model. During operation of the speech recognition engine application 11 the application utilizes the user model 21, the language model 22 and the dictionary 23 in the memory 20 and outputs speech recognition data 24 to the memory 20...”, “...The author work stations 100a, 100b and 100c are connected via a network 101 under the control of a network server 102 to an editor work station 103. The network 101 can comprise any conventional computer network such as an ethernet or token ring...”, Col. 5, line 64- col. 6, line 7, Col. 7, lines 4-15, 20-24, 31-35, Col. 9, line 42 -Col. 10, line 3, Col. 12, lines 46-59).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see PTO-892 Form.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgar Guerra-Erazo whose telephone number is (571) 270-3708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30a.m.-5:00p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be

reached on (571) 272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edgar Guerra-Erazo/
Examiner, Art Unit 2626

/David R Hudspeth/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626